

REMARKS

Entry of this amendment is respectfully requested.

The undersigned thanks the Examiner for the courtesies extended by the Examiner during the telephone interview of May 19, 2009. The undersigned agrees with the Examiner's Summary of that Interview that was mailed with the Office Action of June 5, 2009.

The objections to the claims have been addressed by the amendments to the claims.

It is not believed that the 35 U.S. C. §112, second paragraph, rejections apply to the amended claims.

Claims 87-116 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for allegedly being unpatentable over Li in view of Jung and Jacob. Applicants respectfully traverse.

It is respectfully submitted that Li is non-analogous art and should not be used as a reference. In order to rely on a reference in a field different from that of applicant's endeavor, the matter with which it deals must logically would have commended itself to an inventor's attention in considering his or her invention as a whole. Li relates to antireflective coatings for coating organic polymeric host materials. (See MPEP 2141.01(a)). Li does not even hint or suggest a solution to Applicants problem to be solved. The Examiner has not provided any reason why one of skill in the art of metallic anti-corrosive coatings would look to Li as a starting point for finding any solution for anticorrosive coatings for metallic surfaces.

Furthermore, the undersigned has reviewed Li on the USPTO's PAIR website, which shows that Li was considered to be in Class 524, subclass 379 (GAU 1713). The present application is classified in 427/407.100. This is further evidence that one of skill in the art of anticorrosive metal coatings would not start with Li when looking for a solution to providing an anticorrosive coating for metal.

If the Examiner has any knowledge as to why one of skill in the art would start with Li in the anti-corrosive metal coating art, he is respectfully requested to provide a declaration of personal knowledge or objective evidence supporting that position.

The only way that the Examiner could have even found Li is by using Applicants specifications a guide to find components in the claims, and then try to modify it to arrive at the presently claimed invention, and, as such, is impermissible hindsight.


In view of the foregoing, allowance is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct any fee associated with this filing or any fees necessary to maintain this application to from Deposit Account No. 50-0624.

Respectfully submitted,

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.

By



James R. Crawford
Reg. No: 39,155

666 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10103
(212) 318-3148